Texas Education Agency 2015-16 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: CORONADO MIDDLE Campus ID: 095905043 **District Name: PLAINVIEW ISD**

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability

STAAR Percent A				African American tory Standa		c White		Asian			Specia		ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
Grade 6	2016 68	% 56%	59%	63%	55%	76%	*			*	32%	54%	*	64%	54%	*
Reading	2015 73			*	62%	84%	-	-	*	*	25%	61%	*	71%	60%	*
Mathematics	2016 71° 2015 72°		59% 67%	*	56% 65%	82% 79%	*	-	- *	*	46% 45%	56% 64%	*	60% 66%	58% 67%	*
Crada 7																
Grade 7 Reading	2016 69° 2015 72°		61% 70%	* 71%	59% 67%	82% 78%	- *	- *	*	*	* 36%	59% 68%	*	65% 76%	59% 63%	*
Mathematics	2016 68° 2015 68°		59% 64%	*	55% 63%	79% 72%	*	*	*	*	* 54%	55% 59%	*	62% 68%	57% 60%	*
Writing	2016 689 2015 699		60% 58%	*	58% 61%	74% 52%	- *	- *	- *	*	* 29%	58% 55%	*	69% 73%	53% 45%	*
Grade 8 Reading	2016 85° 2015 84°			63% 71%	82% 80%	88% 96%	*	*	* -	*	33% 40%	82% 79%	*	90% 85%	75% 81%	*
	0040.00	0.001	=00/		000/	500/			_	*	000/	700/		000/	0.50/	
Mathematics	2016 80° 2015 71°		76% 71%	*	80% 66%	56% 90%	*	-	-	*	38% 40%	76% 65%	*	86% 77%	65% 64%	*
Science	2016 73° 2015 67°		61% 59%	*	62% 51%	56% 87%	*	*	*	*	42%	59% 52%	*	69% 56%	53% 63%	*
Social Studies	2016 62° 2015 61°		41% 39%	*	40% 31%	50% 64%	*	*	*	*	33%	38% 32%	*	45% 30%	38% 48%	*
End of Course Algebra I	2016 76 2015 77		100% 100%	*	100% 100%	100% 100%	- -	- *	- -	- -	- -	100% 100%	-	100% 100%		
All Grades																
All Subjects	2016 74 2015 73		62% 64%	52% 49%	61% 61%	73% 79%	88% 100%	* 86%	60% 71%	47% 53%	31% 35%	60% 60%	35% 39%	68% 67%	57% 61%	44% 34%
Reading	2016 72° 2015 74°		68% 73%	60% 61%	65% 69%	82% 87%	*	*	*	*	26% 33%	65% 69%	39% 38%	73% 78%	62% 67%	* 53%
Mathematics	2016 75° 2015 73°		65% 68%	50% 50%	64% 65%	76% 82%	*	*	*	*	36% 48%	63% 63%	30% 46%		60% 65%	45% 40%
Writing	2016 68 ⁹ 2015 68 ⁹			*	58% 61%	74% 52%	- *	- *	- *	*	* 29%	58% 55%	*	69% 73%	53% 45%	*
Science	2016 77° 2015 75°			*	62% 51%	56% 87%	*	*	*	*	42% *	59% 52%	*	69% 56%	53% 63%	*
Social Studies	2016 76 2015 74			*	40% 31%	50% 64%	*	*	* -	*	33%	38% 32%	*	45% 30%	38% 48%	*
STAAR Percent a	t Final Le	vel II or A	bove													
All Grades All Subjects	2016 42° 2015 38°			25% 14%	24% 22%	46% 44%	25% 14%	* 57%	30% 0%	20% 13%	19% 16%	23% 21%	10% 0%	31% 26%	24% 25%	3% 0%

	;	State [District	Campu	Afric s Ameri		spanic	A: White	mericar Indian		Pacific Islander		Specia		/ ELL	Female	Male	Migrant
Reading	2016 2015		32% 31%	31% 30%	30% 17%		26% 25%	52% 51%	*	*	*	*	16% 16%	25% 24%	17% 0%	35% 30%	27% 29%	* 0%
Mathematics	2016 2015		32% 29%	30% 28%	25% 17%		26% 24%	48% 48%	*	*	*	*	20% 17%	26% 22%	0% 0%	33% 28%	27% 28%	0% 0%
Writing	2016 2015		29% 19%	27% 21%	*		24% 20%	41% 29%	- *	- *	- *	*	* 25%	23% 18%	*	31% 29%	23% 12%	*
Science	2016 2015		35% 31%	29% 26%	*		26% 19%	41% 51%	*	*	*	*	29%	24% 19%	*	34% 23%	23% 29%	*
Social Studies	2016 2015		37% 31%	14% 12%	*		10% 9%	25% 22%	*	*	*	*	17% *	11% 10%	*	14% 11%	14% 13%	*
STAAR Percent a	t Leve	I III Adv	/anced															
All Grades All Subjects	2016 2015		10% 8%	9% 8%	12% 2%		6% 6%	24% 20%	0% 0%	* 57%	0% 0%	7% 7%	0% 2%	6% 5%	0% 0%	10% 9%	8% 8%	0% 0%
Reading	2016 2015		10% 9%	11% 12%	15% 0%		7% 8%	28% 30%	*	*	*	*	0% 3%	8% 8%	0% 0%	13% 14%	9% 10%	* 0%
Mathematics	2016 2015		12% 8%	9% 8%	5% 6%		6% 5%	23% 19%	*	*	*	*	0% 0%	5% 4%	0% 0%	9% 7%	9% 8%	0% 0%
Writing	2016 2015		7% 3%	2% 4%	*		1% 3%	9% 10%	- *	- *	- *	*	* 4%	1% 2%	*	2% 6%	2% 2%	*
Science	2016 2015		10% 8%	11% 9%	*		6% 7%	31% 18%	*	*	*	*	0%	7% 7%	*	12% 7%	9% 12%	*
Social Studies	2016 2015		15% 10%	7% 2%	*		3% 1%	19% 4%	*	*	*	*	0%	4% 1%	*	6% 2%	7% 3%	*
STAAR Participat	ion (Al	II Grad	es)															
All Tests		2016 2015		100% 99%	100% 99%	100% 100%				* 100%		100% 100%	99% 93%	100% 99%	100% 94%	100% 99%	100% 99%	100% 100%
Reading		2016 2015			100% 99%	100% 100%			* 100%	* 100%	* 100%		100% 94%	100% 99%	100% 93%	100% 99%	100% 99%	100% 100%
Mathematics		2016 2015		100%		100%	99%	99%	* 100%	* 100%	* 67%	100% 100%	94%	99%	100% 93%	100% 99%		100%
Writing		2016 2015	99%	99%	98% 98%	100%		94%	100%	100%	* 100%		90%	99%	100% 100%	100% 98%	97% 98%	* 100%
Science		2016 2015		99% 100%	99% 100%		99%	100%	* 100%	* 100%	-	* 100%	100% 94%	99% 99%	* 100%	99% 99%	99% 100%	* 100%
Social Studies		2016 2015				100% 100%		6 100% 100%	* 100%	* 100%	*	* 100%	100% 94%	100% 99%	* 100%	100% 99%	100% 100%	* 100%
STAAR Participat	ion Re	sults k	y Asse	essmen	t Type f	or Stud	dents S	Served in	n Specia	al Educ	ation Se	ttings (All Grad	les)				
Reading Tests % of Participants			2016	98% 9	18% 9	7%	* 9	8% 92	.% -	-	*	*	97%	98%	*	95%	98%	*
% STAAR/EOC Accommodations % STAAR/EOC			2016	13%	7% 1	3%	* 1	5% 09	% -	-	*	*	13%	11%	*	19%	8%	*
Accommodations % STAAR Alter						4% 1%		1% 67 2% 25		-	*	*	64% 21%	65% 22%	*	48% 29%	73% 16%	*
% of Non-Partici	pants		2016			3%		2% 89		-	*	*	3%	2%	*	5%	2%	*
Mathematics Tests % of Participants % STAAR/EOC	3		2016	99% 9	9% 9	7%	* 9	8% 92	:% -	-	*	*	97%	98%	*	95%	98%	*
Accommodations % STAAR/EOC			2016	12%	8% 7	' %	*	7% 09	% -	-	*	*	7%	6%	*	5%	6%	*

Accommodations	2016	75%	77%	69%	*	69%	67%	-	-	*	*	69%	70%	*	62%	76%	*
% STAAR Alternate2	2016	12%	14%	21%	*	22%	25%	-	-	*	*	21%	22%	*	29%	16%	*
% of Non-Participants	2016	1%	1%	3%	*	2%	8%	-	-	*	*	3%	2%	*	5%	2%	*

^{&#}x27;*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2015-16 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes four-year and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

Performance Status - State	All Student	African sAmerican	Hispanic	White	American Indian						ELL II(Current & Monitored			Total Eligible	
State Target	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%	60%				
Reading	Y	00 /0	Y	Y	00 /0	00 /0	00 70	00 /0	Y	N	00 /0		4	5	80
Mathematics	Ý		Ý	Ý					Ý	N			4	5	80
Writing	Ý		N	Ý					Ň	.,			2	4	50
Science	Ϋ́		Y	N					N				2	4	50
Social Studies	Ň		Ň	N					N				0	4	0
Total													12	22	55
Performance Status - Federa	al														
Federal Target	87%	87%	87%	87%					87%	87%	87%				
Reading	N		N	Ν	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ν	N		n/a			
Mathematics	N		N	N	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	N	N		n/a			
Participation Status															
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%	95%		95%			
Reading	Υ		Υ	Υ					Υ	Υ			5	5	100
Mathematics	Υ		Υ	Υ					Υ	Υ			5	5	100
Total													10	10	100
Federal Graduation Status (Graduation Target Met Reason Code ***	Target: S	See Reason (Codes)										0	0	
Total													0	0	
District: Met Federal Limits Reading	on Altern	ative Asses	sments												
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Mathematics															
Alternate 1%	n/a														
Number Proficient	n/a														
Total Federal Cap Limit	n/a														
Total															
Overall Total													22	32	69

⁺ Participation uses ELL (Current), Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS)

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Current & Monitored)	ELL (Current)
Performance Rates												
Reading												
# at Level II Satisfactory	398	11	296	83	*	*	*	*	286	17	15	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	580	19	447	102	*	*	*	*	436	66	32	15
% at Level II Satisfactory	69%	58%	66%	81%	*	*	*	*	66%	26%	47%	n/a
Standard												

^{&#}x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

^{&#}x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

^{?&#}x27; Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

^{***} Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:

a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90%

c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the Goal

b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 88% d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 90%

	All	African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Econ	Special	ELL (Current &	ELL
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored)	(Current)
Mathematics						*						
# at Level II Satisfactory	385	9	289	79	*	*	*	*	277	24	12	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	582	19	448	103	*	*	*	*	438	66	32	15
% at Level II Satisfactory	66%	47%	65%	77%	*	*	*	*	63%	36%	38%	n/a
Standard												
Writing												
# at Level II Satisfactory	111	*	83	25	-	-	-	*	80	*	*	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	184	*	143	34	-	-	-	*	138	*	*	*
% at Level II Satisfactory	60%	*	58%	74%	-	-	-	*	58%	*	*	n/a
Standard												
Science												
# at Level II Satisfactory	115	*	90	18	*	*	*	*	84	9	*	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	189	*	146	31	*	*	*	*	144	23	*	*
% at Level II Satisfactory	61%	*	62%	58%	*	*	*	*	58%	39%	*	n/a
Standard												
Social Studies												
# at Level II Satisfactory	79	*	59	16	*	*	*	*	55	7	*	n/a
Standard												
Total Tests	191	*	148	31	*	*	*	*	146	23	*	*
% at Level II Satisfactory	41%	*	40%	52%	*	*	*	*	38%	30%	*	n/a
Standard												
Participation Rates												
Reading: 2015-2016 Assessme												
Number Participating	610	20	472	104	*	*	*	5	459	72	n/a	23
Total Students	611	20	472	105	*	*	*	5	460	72	n/a	23
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	99%	*	*	*	100%	100%	100%	n/a	100%
Mathematics: 2015-2016 Asset												
Number Participating	612	20	473	105	*	*	*	5	461	72	n/a	23
Total Students	612	20	473	105	*	*	*	5	461	72	n/a	23
Participation Rate	100%	100%	100%	100%	*	*	*	100%	100%	100%	n/a	100%

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

	All Students	African American	Hispanic	White	American Indian	Asian	Pacific Islander	Two or More Races	Econ Disadv	Special Ed	ELL (Ever HS)	ELL (Current)
Federal Graduation Rates												
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	duation Rate	e (Gr 9-12): (Class of 201	5								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
4-year Longitudinal Cohort Grad	duation Rate	e (Gr 9-12): (Class of 201	4								
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
5-year Extended Graduation Rat	e (Gr 9-12):	Class of 20	14									
Number Graduated	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total in Class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Graduation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading

Number Proficient n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a Mathematics Number Proficient n/a Total Federal Cap Limit n/a

Source: 2016 Accountability System Safeguards Report

When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.

n/a Indicates the student group is not applicable to System Safeguards.

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. Focus schools are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 83%. Campuses were originally staged as priority and focus based on data from the 2013 Accountability Reports Priority and focus schools having improved in performance and are no longer identified as improvement required for the August 2015 and 2016 ratingswill include a "Progress" label. All schools that do not meet that criteria will remain identified as priority or focus.

Priority School Identification: Priority School Reason: N/A Focus School Reason: N/A No

Focus School Identification: No

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards. The reward school identifications provided are for the 2015-2016 school year identifications for the 2016-2017 school year are pending.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	1.1%	1.0%
Bachelors	34.0	76.0%	77.0%	74.7%
Masters	10.7	24.0%	21.4%	23.6%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	0.5%	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low Poverty/ High Poverty **Summary Reports**

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by high-poverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

Core Academic Subject Areas

		General Education	Special Education	Total
Total Number of Teachers		31	3	34
Total Number of Classes		151	16	167
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	144	16	160
	Percent	95.36%	100.00%	95.81%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	7	0	7
	Percent	4.64%	0.00%	4.19%

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

----- Number of Teachers ------

	NuEdberr of	fTeacshecron-dary-
	(PK-6)	(7-1 2)
Emergency (for certified personnel)	0	1
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	Elem0	secondary0
Non-renewable	(PK-6)	(7-12)
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	1
District Teaching	0	0
Temporary	0	0

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Numbe	r of Teachers
	General Education	Special Education
Highly Qualified	3	0
Not Highly Qualified	0	0

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2012-13 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2013-14 academic year.

Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

			%	% At or Above	% At or Above	% At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2 2 3
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2 2
		National School Lunch Program	19	81	30	2
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4

				%	%	%
			%	At or Above	At or Above	At or Above
Grade	Subject	Student Group	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	36	64	18	1
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade	Subject	Student Group	%
Grade 4	Reading	Students with Disabilities	72
		Limited English Proficient	92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	80
		Limited English Proficient	95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities	81
	· ·	Limited English Proficient	95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities	81
		Limited English Proficient	90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment